Application No. Applicant(s) SHIBASAKI, NAOJI 09/527,467 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2624 Douglas Q. Tran All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Douglas Q. Tran. (2) Ruthleen Uy. Date of Interview: 14 July 2005. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 15. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection to claims 8 and 15 is made in view of Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,195,694 B1) and Kohda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,249,806 B1). . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. DOUGLAS Q.TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20050714